#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 393 639 RC 020 534

AUTHOR Varisco de Garcia, Norma; Garcia, Eugene E.

TITLE Teachers for Mexican Migrant and Immigrant Students:

Meeting an Urgent Need.

PUB DATE 96

NOTE 13p.; Chapter 10 in: Children of La Frontera:

Binational Efforts To Serve Mexican Migrant and

Immigrant Students; see RC 020 526.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Bilingual Teachers; \*Educational Cooperation;

Elementary Secondary Education; Foreign Countries;

Higher Education; Institutional Cooperation;

International Cooperation; \*International Educational

Exchange; \*Mexican American Education; Spanish Speaking; \*Teacher Education; \*Teacher Shortage

IDENTIFIERS Mexico; \*Office of Bilingual Educ Minority Lang

Affairs; United States

#### **ABSTRACT**

In light of growing numbers of Mexican immigrants and other Spanish speakers in the United States, public schools must deal with shortages of certified bilingual teachers. This chapter describes efforts to address such shortages by the Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Language Affairs (OBEMLA) and other agencies of the U.S. Department of Education. In 1990, the U.S. Department of Education and the Mexican Secretariat of Public Education entered into a Memorandum of Understanding on Education, which aimed to enhance educational cooperation between the two countries and which called for a joint Border Conference on Education, held in October 1991. Following a renewal of the Memorandum of Understanding in 1993, national agreements of cooperation were concluded in the areas of teacher exchange, teacher training programs, and curriculum exchange. To advance these agreements, OBEMLA sponsored joint invitational symposia in 1994 and 1995, and OBEMLA and the University of Texas at El Paso established the Binational Initiative for Educational Development, which sponsors binational meetings to promote collaborative projects, seminars and workshops on teacher exchanges and bilingual teacher recruitment and training, and a summer institute for Mexican and U.S. educators and researchers. OBEMLA has also promoted efforts to recruit and certify foreign teachers and to develop comparable teacher education programs at U.S. and Mexican universities that will allow international transfers of credit. Other related efforts include the Border Colloquy, a series of meetings to envision the educational future of the U.S.-Mexican border region. Contains 16 references. (SV)



 $<sup>^</sup>k$  Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made  $^*$ 

<sup>\*</sup> from the original document.

#### CHAPTER 10



#### Teachers for Mexican Migrant and Immigrant Students: Meeting an Urgent Need

Norma Varisco de García and Eugene E. Garcia The heads of the U.S. Department of Education and the Mexican Secretaria of Educación signed the Memorandum of Understanding on Education Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Mexico in 1991; it has been renewed every 2 years since that time. The U.S. Department of Education's Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Language Affairs (OBEMLA) has provided U.S. leadership in following through on provisions in the Memorandum related to teacher education. This chapter describes the rationale for subsequent activities—meant to address bilingual teacher shortages in the United States—and the collaboration taking place among Mexican and U.S. federal, state, and local officials, administrators, and educators.

#### Background

ver the past two decades Mexico has remained the country of origin for the majority of immigrants to the United States. An estimated 1,655,843 Mexican citizens have emigrated to the United States since 1981. This figure outnumbers any other nation of origin by over a million for the same time period (Figueroa & Garcia, 1994).

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Olico ol Educationa. Rosearch and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)

  This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization organization organization organization (1998).

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

M SCACK

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Q

45508009



NORMA VARISCO DE GARCIA & EUGENE E. GARCIA

Half of all Spanish speakers live in California and Texas (U.S. Bureau of n 1990, the nation's 17.3 million Spanish speakers far outnumbered all other speakers of a foreign language in the United States. According to U.S. Census data, Spanish speakers now account for more than half of all people residing in the United States whose first language is not English. he Census, 1994).

providing instruction to these students, but only 10 percent are credentialed culture, language acquisition, or teaching English to limited-English-proficient (LEP) pupils. To further aggravate the problem, the majority of these Unfortunately in light of these facts, there is a scarcity of bilingual leachers to provide instruction to these children. According to a recent study (Fleishman & Hopstock, 1993), there are more than 360,000 teachers bilingual teachers, and only 33 percent have ever taken a college course on teachers are not proficient in Spanish (U.S. Department of Education,

Another study profiling U.S. teachers indicates that only two percent of the teachers in public schools and one percent of those in private schools are ciation (NEA) reports that the nation's teachers are still overwhelmingly white and female. A poll of its members shows that 87 percent are white and 72 percent are female. NEA executive Robert Chase said that the failure to attract and keep minority teachers threatens to deny minority Hispanic (Feistritzer, 1986). Furthermore, the National Education Assostudents the role models they need (National Education Association, 1992).

It was in light of these facts that the U.S. Department of Education's embarked upon a binational effort to increase the number of qualified bilingual teachers and to help other teachers now serving LEP students to learn Spanish and increase their knowledge of the history and culture of students of Mexican origin. Much of this work is being done in collabora-Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Languages Affairs (OBEMLA) ion with the Mexican Secretariat of Education.

## The Memorandum of Understanding

Secretary of Education Lauro Cavazos and former Mexican Secretary of Education Manuel Bartlett-Díaz. It is the most comprehensive agreement that the U.S. Department of Education has made with any nation. Its purpose is to enhance cooperation between the two countries for improving On August 17, 1990, the U.S. Department of Education and the Mexican standing on Education (Cavazos & Bartlett-Díaz, 1990). This action was Secretaríat of Public Education entered into a Memorandum of Underaken within the framework of the United States/Mexico Binational Commission, which promotes cooperation between the two nations. The historic document was signed in Nuevo Laredo, Mexico, by former U.S. the quality of education.

# the Memorandum of Understanding on Education

Here are some of the main ideas briefly stated from the Memorandum of Understanding:

The Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Mexico under Article I:

### GENERAL EDUCATION

- (a) will encourage and develop cooperation and exchanges in the field of education on the basis of equality, mutual benefits, and reciprocity;
- such exchanges and cooperation shall be subject to the constitutions and applicable laws and regulations of the respective countries; and 9
- appropriate by all concerned, to strengthen or expand existing the cooperation provided for in this Memorandum . . . shall attempt to identify new areas for joint activities or where deemed programs. <u>ම</u>

In Article II:

### METHODS OF COOPERATION

- ganizations, and private sector establishments in the two (a) 1. Encourage and facilitate closer relationships between state education agencies and offices, schools and school systems, postsecondary institutions, other educational entities and orcountries; and
- ional administrators, and other specialists to lecture, teach, Encourage mutually beneficial educational activities involving researchers, scholars, faculty members, teachers, educaconduct research, and develop cooperative programs;
- (b) 1. Cooperation that facilitates exchanges and dialogue centered on educational management, methods, evaluation, and research; and
- In support of other bilateral initiatives and programs, the study and teaching of each other's language, culture, and history through the development of exchanges and coopera-

In Article VI:

September 1, 1990, and remain effective until December 31, 1991, TERM OF MEMORANDUM: This Memorandum shall be effective after which it will be extended for successive 2-year periods...

Additional annexes for subsequent 2-year periods have been signed tary Richard Riley, July 11, 1995; Riley, R. Letter to Mexican between both governments (Limon Rojas, M. Letter to U.S. Secre-Secretary Limon Rojas, June 2, 1995; Riley & Zedillo, 1993).

the need for increased cooperation that would be brought about by the program that would contribute to providing a highly skilled, productive The Memorandum of Understanding was timely, because it anticipated The Memorandum expressed the intention to collaborate for an educational implementation of the North America Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA). workforce interacting along the border of both countries.

agencies occurred in December 1990 and June 1991. These visits resulted in further agreements. Subsequent annexes to the original Memorandum of Understanding were signed that placed further emphasis upon teacher education, teacher exchange, Spanish and English language instruction, technological education, joint university meetings, mathematics and sci-Additional exchange visits by senior officials of both national education ence teaching, and migrant education (Tinsman, 1994).

### Border Conference on Education

prevention, technical education, faculty and student exchanges, continuing education, and educational technology. Existing border arrangements were tion agencies, school systems, and institutions of higher education ex-To enhance cooperative efforts among the 10 border states of the two nations, the Memorandum of Understanding called for a joint Border Conference on Education. The U.S. Department of Education and Secretaria de Educación Pública hosted this conference in Ciudad Juárez and El Paso in October 1991. The conference brought together one of the largest groups of educators (more than 300 chief state school officers, superintendents, university presidents and representatives, business executives, etc.) ever assembled from the two countries to learn about successful existing forms of cooperation and to agree on new and expanded areas of cooperation at both the lower and higher education levels. The conference focused on teacher exchange, the teaching of Spanish and English, teacher training, science and mathematics education, migrant education, literacy, dropout strengthened and new relationships were established. Various state educapanded cooperative efforts to improve education, upgrade the workforce, and stimulate lasting working relationships (U.S. Department of Education & Secretaría de Educación Pública de México, 1991).

### Further Agreements

reform begun by Secretary Bartlett-Díaz. As a first priority, he turned his quent appointment of a new U.S. Secretary of Education caused some In December 1991, President Salinas appointed Ernesto Zedillo (currently Mexico's President), to replace Secretary of Education Manuel Bartlett-Díaz. Secretary Zedillo immediately hastened the educational efforts to overcoming the internal problems inhibiting reform and federal cooperation. The November 1992 election in the United States and subse-

NORMA VARISCO DE GARCIA & EUGENE E. GARCIA

Then, in February 1993, Secretary Zedillo called on Secretary Riley, and that continues the existing forms of educational cooperation and focuses he two secretaries reaffirmed the joint commitment to cooperate in educaion, concluding that both nations had much to gain from collaboration. They agreed to sign a new annex to the Memorandum of Understanding spon those areas of greatest need and, consequently, of highest priority (Riley & Zedillo, 1993).

at the U.S./Mexico Binational Commission meeting held in June 1993, and emphasizes cooperation in the areas of school-to-work transition, teaching of English and Spanish languages, early childhood education, education for This Annex Three was signed by Secretary Riley and Secretary Zedillo the prevention of drug abuse, distance education, and educational research. It also calls for cooperation in the fields of teacher education, adult education, technical education, migrant education, and higher education.

On October 4-5, 1993, a subsequent meeting was held by U.S./Mexico senior education officers in Mexico, D.F., and an agreement of cooperation was concluded in the following three areas: teacher exchange, teacher 1994) states that this collaborative effort is important due to the interaction of both countries in education, economics, cultural exchanges, and the training, and curriculum exchange. The report on this meeting (Tinsman, evermore interlinking demographics.

### First Steps in Collaboration

after the signing of the historic NAFTA agreement, OBEMLA hosted a As the first step in advancing the U.S. Department of Education's efforts oint meeting of U.S. and Mexican education representatives at the national Association for Bilingual Education conference in Los Angeles, February 18, 1994. For this meeting, OBEMLA director Eugene E. Garcia and his staff prepared a briefing book containing resources on teacher training, leacher exchange, and curriculum examples. The participants agreed that there was an urgent need to share information on existing curriculum, curriculum reform, and materials, with the goal of reenforcing curriculum meeting of Title VII project directors held in conjunction with the National exchange activities between the two countries.

in conjunction with the annual conference of the Texas Association of OBEMLA followed up on the recommendations of participants by lenges for the Future [Perspectivas Curriculares: Retos para el Futuro]." This event, meant to accomplish curriculum goals established by the Memorandum of Understanding in education between the two countries, was held Bilingual Education, November 10-12, 1994, and was sponsored by OBEMLA, the Mexican Secretariat of Public Education, the Division of Bilingual Education and Special Language Instruction of the Texas Educaorganizing a joint invitational symposium, "Curriculum Perspectives: Chal-

ERI

tion Agency, the Southwest Educational Development Laboratory, and the Southwestern Bell Foundation. The symposium allowed U.S. and Mexican educators to begin identifying specific materials, resources, methodologies, technologies, and other strategies for elementary and secondary education that can be used by schools in both countries to promote and implement education reform (Richey, 1995).

In order to identify presenters from the United States, input was solicited from state education agency bilingual education directors in Texas, Arizona, New Mexico, and California, the National Clearinghouse for Bilingual Education, and OBEMLA staff. Through collaboration with the Secretaria de Educación Pública, a program for the symposium was developed that included

- curriculum content sessions,
- · educational policy,
- educational technology demonstrations,
- educational reform,
  - publisher exhibits,
- publisher and educator panel discussions,
  - curriculum exhibits,
- workshops, and
  - networking.

The symposium drew participants from the 10 U.S. and Mexican border states as well as educators from Florida, Colorado, and Utah. Feedback received from the participants indicates that the symposium was a success, especially in the areas of exchanging materials and methodologies and building partnerships.

The Second U.S./Mexico Symposium took place at the Cibeles Convention Center, Ciudad Juárez, Mexico, September 14-15, 1995. It was cosponsored by OBEMLA and the Mexican Secretariat of Public Education; the Texas Education Agency; the Southwest Educational Development Laboratory; and the Johns Hopkins University Center for Research on the Education of Students at Risk.

The goal of this symposium was to provide the participants with a unique learning and training experience, bringing them up to date on the latest efforts in the professional development field. An additional goal was to identify additional efforts needed to overcome the shortage of personnel who are well prepared to serve youth in the United States and Mexico.

Approximately 400 educators and members of local and state governments, as well as representatives of state education agencies, OBEMLA, the U.S. Department of Education, and the Secretariat of Public Education of Mexico, participated in the symposium. The interchange of experiences and ideas identified new possibilities of communication and cooperation,

and opened a new era in our bilateral relationship and cooperation in the education field.

Proceedings of the symposium will be published in Spanish and English (Lara & Varisco de García, 1995).

# Binational initiative for Educational Development (El Paso/Ciudad Juárez Region)

University of Texas at El Paso (especially university students at two Title VII programs). Others who will benefit directly by collaborating with their neighboring school districts, the El Paso Community College, and the U.S. counterparts include the children, educators, and researchers in the institute involving educators from both sides of the border. These activities are being planned collaboratively with the assistance of the Secretary of Public Education of Mexico. The primary beneficiaries of the activities will be the children and educators of the El Paso/Juárez area. On the United States side, this will include the students and bilingual educators from the series of meetings and forums to help educators from both sides of the (2) a series of seminars and workshops of mutual interest to deal firsthand with the problems identified and to implement solutions; and (3) a summer Paso/Ciudad Juárez region. The Initiative has three major activities: (1) a border to become acquainted with each other, to identify topics of mutual interest and concerns, and to explore possibilities for collaborative projects; Initiative for Educational Development to enhance education in the El In other efforts to alleviate shortages of bilingual teachers, OBEMLA and the University of Texas at El Paso have established the Binational Ciudad Juárez area (Tinajeros & Lozano, 1994).

Meetings and forums. Educators and researchers from the United States and Mexico have begun a series of meetings to foster the interchange of ideas and expertise, the collaborative identification and the search for solutions, to improve the education provided to children in the border areas.

Seminars and workshops. In order to put the training and interchange of teachers into effect, OBEMLA sponsored three workshops at the NABE International Conference in Phoenix, Arizona, in February 1995 in collaboration with the Secretary of Public Education of Mexico, the border states' state education agencies' directors, and local education agencies' administrators and directors of bilingual education.

- At one workshop, "Teacher Exchange with Mexico: How to Do It,"
  local education agencies from border states that have already conducted
  teacher exchanges provided materials and information so that other
  interested local education agencies can learn the nuts and bolts of putting
  together a teacher exchange.
- The second workshop, "Bilingual Program Personnel Recruitment, Train-

education of the state, presented the efforts and the success obtained in teachers already living in the United States should be identified and given a course of studies by a university or college, normalize their status, and be provided certification so that they can become part of the U.S. educational system. The administrators of the Board of Education of Chicago, Illinois, and the state education agency director for bilingual sented by the Secretaría of Educacíon (SEP) of Mexico that normal ng, and Certification Activities in Illinois," building on the idea pretheir unique program.

the philosophy behind and need for the U.S. Department of Education/ Finally, at the third workshop, "United States Department of Education/ Mexico, and the state director of bilingual education for Texas discussed México Secretaría de Educación Education Initiative: Federal, State, and Local," the Director of OBEMLA, a representative from the SEP/ OBEMLA-Secretaría de Educación Pública/Mexico Education Initiative, and outlined the next steps planned for its implementation.

summer institutes as a Binational Initiative Educational Development for Enhancement for Education. Local educational agencies (LEAs) attended the Summer Institute from both sides of the border. This Institute was held in Brownsville, September 11-14, 1995. Individual LEAS conducted the The Summer Institute. Dr. Josefina Tinajeros (Sept. 1995) from the University of Texas at El Paso obtained a grant from OBEMLA to conduct summer programs in teacher exchange in Brownsville, Texas.

OBEMLA concentrated its efforts in organizing and conducting the Second Binational Professional Development Symposium, held September 14-15, 1995, in Ciudad Juárez described above.

with support from both OBEMLA and SEP representatives, planned all A committee of educators and researchers from both sides of the border, aspects of the Summer Institute including the program, the length and time of the Institute, and guests and speakers to be invited.

### **Bridge to Higher Education**

meet state certification and licensing requirements. This effort has been language proficiency and cultural awareness. OBEMLA also has helped plan recruitment efforts outside of the United States. Credentialing of personnel credentialing to ensure that graduates from training programs competency standards, and guidelines to assess educator competency in foreign teachers faces formidable obstacles since the expectations and UBEMLA has been working with state education agencies in the area of strengthened by the work to develop national bilingual/ESL competencies, standards differ across the nation (Impine-Hernandez, 1989).

and colleges in both countries to develop comparable, transferable credits  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$ At the higher education level, work remains to be done with universities

NORMA VARISCO DE GARCIA & EUGENE E. GARCIA

in bilingual education, ESL, Spanish, and culture and civilization. This " ay, students will be able to work toward a teaching degree accepted in both countries.

teachers might receive higher education credits in the aforementioned areas mental Education Programs can be utilized to send U.S. teachers to Mexico to improve their Spanish language teaching abilities and skills. These Training monies in the continuation programs under Transitional Bilingual Education, Special Alternative Education Programs, and Developof education when they take courses at Mexican colleges or universities.

OBEMLA is also working closely with certain local education agencies in the border states to increase the number of elementary and secondary teachers with bilingual or ESL language certification by modifying its past sudget policy to maintain current levels of funding for staff development.

## A Border Colloquy: Imagining La Frontera

education and well-being of the region's children and youth; and to begin the process of developing comprehensive, binational plans to fulfill that Development Laboratory and funded by the Office of Educational Re-In addition to the Secretarial initiatives and activities, collaboration between Mexico and the United States has also taken place in a series of meetings to facilitate collaboration and planning for the education success of children and youth in the U.S./Mexico Border Region. Seven meetings were conducted during 1994: three in Mexico and four in the United States. At these meetings, residents of La Frontera-the region along the boundary between the United States and Mexico—envisioned the future of education for children in an expanded community that spans the boundary. The results sought were to develop common understanding about the educaional issues and needs facing La Frontera as it experiences massive cultural and economic change; to foster a binationally shared vision for the vision. The Border Colloquy is sponsored by the Southwest Educational search and Improvement of the U.S. Department of Education. (See Chapter 11 for more information about this effort.)

### Other Recent Developments

of double taxation stipulates that contributions made by a citizen or resident of the United States to a Mexican organization operated for scientific, iterary, or educational purposes shall be treated as tax deductible, and vice versa for a Mexican contribution made to a U.S. institution. Through this provision, resources are made available that were once difficult to direct The convention between Mexico and the United States for the avoidance toward education (Luke, 1994).

private sector. A useful further development would be the creation of an This is an important step forward, since it allows the involvement of the

TEACHERS FOR MEXICAN MIGRANT AND IMMIGRANT STUDENTS

exchanges; now is an appropriate time to convert those isolated efforts into umbrella organization to work exclusively on establishing educational exchanges. Schools in both countries currently are conducting educational ongoing arrangements to strengthen and perpetuate the existing linkages.

#### Conclusions

state education agencies, local education agencies, and institutions of higher education have accepted that challenge to work together to have teachers prepared to help Mexican, Mexican American, and Hispanic Highanic teachers and all other teachers who provide direct services to Hispanic students. OBEMLA, the Secretary of Public Education of Mexico, institute of the Binational Initiative serve as a great challenge for our The teacher exchange summer program and the teacher training summer students to improve their high school graduation rate.

current of collaboration and mederstanding, not only at the federal and state The Binational Initiative between the U.S. Department of Education and the Secretariat of Public Education of Mexico, through the Memorandum of Understanding and its annexes, has sparked a number of activities, meetings, and conferences. These activities in t .rn have produced a strong levels in both countries, but alsc in the education community.

can and U.S. teachers the opportunity to obtain bachelor's degrees or to update their bilingual teaching skills. Through these efforts, Hispanic students stand a better chance of receiving a more appropriate education, which eventually should help decrease the Hispanic dropout rate and dents are now engaged in programs that study and promote the languages and cultures of the United States and Mexico. Other programs give Mexi-Institutions of higher education, administrators, professors, and stuincrease the rate of high school completion by Hispanics.

specifically orders an increase in opportunities for Hispanic Americans to participate in and benefit from federal education programs and in their ers, the Binational Initiative supports the goals of President Clinton's Executive Order on Educational Excellence for Hispanic Americans, which progress toward the achievement of the National Education Goals and other Besides advancing the cause of increasing the supply of bilingual teachstandards of educational accomplishment (Clinton, 1994).

The time has come for specific actions to be taken to improve the education that we provide to our Mexican, Mexican American, and Hispanic students. The NAFTA treaty and the Memorandum of Understanding in Education between the United States and Mexico have provided the appropriate avenues.

#### References

NORMA VARISCO DE GARCIA & EUGENE E. GARCIA

tion, Nuevo Laredo, Mexico, August 17, 1990. Washington, DC: U.S. Department Cavazos, L., & Bartlett-Díaz, M. (1990). Memorandum of Understanding On Educaof Education. Clinton, W. J. (1994, February 24). Education excellence for Hispanic Americans, Executive Order 12900. Presidential documents, 59(7). Washington, DC: Office of the Federal Register.

Feistritzer, Emily C. (1986) Education vital signs: Teachers. American School Board Journal, 173 (10), A12-A16. Figueroa, R. A., & Garcia E. (1994). Issues in testing students from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. Multiculural Education, 2 (1), 10-19.

Fleischam, H. L., & Hopstock, P. J. (1993). Descriptive study of services to limited-English-proficient students. Study prepared for the Office of the Under Secretary, U.S. Department of Education.

nel training programs: Training educational personnel to work with language minority populations. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press. (ERIC Impine-Hemandez, M. S. (Ed.) (1989). Colloquium to strengthen educational person-Document Reproduction Service No. ED 347 124)

Lara, S., & Varisco de García, N. (1995). Report to OBEMLA's director on second U.S./Mexico symposium on professional development, Cibeles Convention Center, Ciudad Juárez, Mexico, September 14-15, 1995. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

Luke, G. (1994). The educational implications of NAFTA (an edited transcript of a symposium sponsored by the United States Coalition for Education for All, January 14, 1994). Washington, DC: Mexican Cultural Institute.

Richey, R. (1995). Report to OBEMLA's director on the U.S./ Mexico Symposium on 10, 1994). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Bilingual Curriculum Perspectives: Challenges for .. e Future. (Austin, Texas, November 9-Education and Minority Languages Affairs.

Riley, R. & Zedillo, E. (1993). Annex Three to the Memorandum of Understanding on Education Between the Government of the United States of American and the Government of Mexico. Activities for 1993-1994. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

Southwest Educational Development Laboratory. (1994). Imagining La Frontera: SEDL's Border Colloquy. Austin, TX: Author.

Tinajeros, J., & Lozano, E. (1994, September 26, draft). Binational Initiative for Educational Development. El Paso: The University of Texas at El Paso.

October 4.5, 1993. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Tinsman, S. (1994). Report of the U.S.-Mexico Senior Education Officials' Meeting. Intergovernmental and Interagency Affairs.

U.S. Bureau of the Census. (1994). We asked . . . you told us. Language spoken at home. Washington, DC: Author. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED

U.S. Department of Education and Secretaría de Educación Pública de México. (1991). Border Conference on Education, Ciudad Juárez and El Paso, Texas, 1991. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

ers). Washington, DC: Author, Program Evaluation and Methodology Div. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 374 196) dropping out and barriers to resuming education (report to Congressional Request-U.S. General Accounting Office. (1994). Hispanics' schooling: Risk factors for